



National Spatial Data Infrastructure

## NSDI FRAMEWORK TRANSPORTATION IDENTIFICATION STANDARD -- *Public Review Draft*

**Ground Transportation Subcommittee**  
**Federal Geographic Data Committee**

**December, 2000**

---

Federal Geographic Data Committee  
Department of Agriculture © Department of Commerce © Department of Defense  
Department of Energy © Department of Housing and Urban Development  
Department of the Interior © Department of Justice © Department of State  
Department of Transportation © Environmental Protection Agency  
Federal Emergency Management Agency © Library of Congress  
National Aeronautics and Space Administration © National Archives and Records Administration  
National Science Foundation © Tennessee Valley Authority

## **Federal Geographic Data Committee**

Established by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-16, the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) promotes the coordinated development, use, sharing, and dissemination of geographic data.

The FGDC is composed of representatives from the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Defense, Energy, Housing and Urban Development, Interior, Justice, State, and Transportation; the Environmental Protection Agency; the Federal Emergency Management Agency; the Library of Congress; the National Aeronautics and Space Administration; the National Archives and Records Administration; the National Science Foundation; and the Tennessee Valley Authority. Additional Federal agencies and non-Federal agencies participate on FGDC subcommittees and working groups. The Department of the Interior chairs the committee.

FGDC subcommittees work on issues related to data categories coordinated under the circular. Subcommittees establish and implement standards for data content, quality, and transfer; encourage the exchange of information and the transfer of data; and organize the collection of geographic data to reduce duplication of effort. Working groups are established for issues that transcend data categories.

For more information about the committee, or to be added to the committee's newsletter mailing list, please contact:

Federal Geographic Data Committee Secretariat  
c/o U.S. Geological Survey  
590 National Center  
Reston, Virginia 22092

Telephone: (703) 648-5514  
Facsimile: (703) 648-5755  
Internet (electronic mail): [fgdc@www.fgdc.gov](mailto:fgdc@www.fgdc.gov)  
World Wide Web: <http://www.fgdc.gov>

The following is the recommended bibliographic citation for this publication:  
Federal Geographic Data Committee (Ground Transportation Subcommittee): NSDI Framework Transportation Identification Standard (Working Draft). Washington, D.C., 2000.

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>1    Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Preface . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Background . . . . .	1
1.1.2 Need for Standards . . . . .	1
1.1.3 FGDC Action . . . . .	2
1.1.4 NSDI Framework Data . . . . .	3
1.1.5 The Transportation Framework Data Layer . . . . .	4
1.1.6 Federal, State and Local Transportation Data Resources . . . . .	5
1.1.7 The Challenge . . . . .	6
1.2 Conventions used in this Standard . . . . .	10
1.2.1 Calendar Dates . . . . .	10
1.2.2 Latitude and Longitude . . . . .	10
1.2.3 Numeric Values . . . . .	11
1.3 Justification . . . . .	11
1.3.1 Objective . . . . .	11
1.3.2 Scope . . . . .	13
1.3.3 Applicability . . . . .	15
1.3.4 Consistency with Other Relevant Standards & Policies . . . . .	16
1.3.5 Standards Development Procedures . . . . .	22
1.3.6 Maintenance Authority . . . . .	22
<b>2    The Framework Transportation Identification Standard . . . . .</b>	<b>24</b>
2.1 Overview . . . . .	24
2.2 Relationships between the “Real World”, Cartography, and Networks, and the	

Framework Transportation Identification Standard . . . . .	24
2.2.1    Physical (“Real-World”) Domain . . . . .	25
2.2.2    Cartographic Domain . . . . .	25
2.2.3    Network Domain . . . . .	26
2.3 Components of the Transportation Identification Standard . . . . .	28
2.3.1 <b>Framework Transportation Segment Reference Point (FTRP)</b>	
. . . . .	28
2.3.2 <b>Framework Transportation Segment (FTSeg)</b> . . . . .	34
2.4 Connectivity of Framework Transportation Segments . . . . .	41
2.4.1    The Connectivity Table . . . . .	41
2.4.2    Description of Connectivity Table Elements . . . . .	43
2.4.3    Categories of Connectivity . . . . .	44
2.4.4    Conditions lacking Connectivity . . . . .	46
2.5 Relating Attributes of Transportation Segments to FTRP and FTSeg . . . . .	48
2.6 Unique Identifiers of FTRP and FTSeg . . . . .	50
2.6.1    Authority-ID . . . . .	51
2.6.2    Object Type . . . . .	51
2.6.3    Identity-Code . . . . .	51
2.7 Relating Equivalent Representations of FTRP and FTSeg . . . . .	52
2.7.1    Equivalent FTRP and FTSeg . . . . .	52
2.7.2    The FTSEG and FTRP Equivalency Table . . . . .	53
2.7.3    Relating Equivalent FTRP and FTSeg . . . . .	55
2.8 Framework Transportation Data Authorities . . . . .	56
2.8.1    Definition of an Authority . . . . .	57
2.8.2    Unique Identifiers for Authorities . . . . .	57
2.8.3    Descriptive Attributes for each Authority . . . . .	58

**Appendix A – Terminology** ..... 61

**Appendix B – Bibliographic References** ..... 66

**Appendix C – Implementation Procedures** ..... 71

1.1	Cartographic Representation of FTRP and FTSeg	73
1.1.1	Display of County and State Density	74
1.1.2	Display of FTRP and FTSeg	74
1.1.3	Relationship to Other Cartographic Elements	76
1.2	Establishing Framework Road Segment Reference Points (FTRP)	77
1.2.1	At Jurisdictional Boundaries	77
1.2.2	Simple Road Intersections	78
1.2.4	Overpasses and Underpasses	80
1.2.5	Grade-Separated Interchanges	80
1.3	Establishing Framework transportation Segments (FTSeg)	82
1.3.1	Segment Length	82
1.3.2	Road Types	84
1.3.3	Complex Intersections	87
1.4	Creating New or Updated FTSeg and FTRP	92
1.4.1	Road reconstruction	92
1.4.2	Re-measuring	93
1.5	Retiring FTSeg and FTRP	93
1.5.1	Road reconstruction	93
1.5.2	FTRP Duplication	94
1.6	The Distributed Index of Transportation Authorities, FTSeg, and FTRP	95
1.6.1	Transportation Authorities	95
1.6.2	Points and Segments	96

1.7	Defining FTSeg and FTRP within a Geographic Area . . . . .	98
1.7.1	Geographic Extent . . . . .	98
1.7.2	Cooperating Authorities . . . . .	98
1.7.3	Contiguous Jurisdictions . . . . .	99
1.7.4	Inventory of Databases and Applications . . . . .	100
1.7.5	Base Data for Initial Assignment . . . . .	100
1.7.6	Prototype Implementation . . . . .	101
1.8	Establishing Object Identity and Connectivity . . . . .	101
1.8.1	Implementation Sequence (Overview) . . . . .	102
1.8.2	Implementation Sequence (Detail) . . . . .	103
1.9	Conformance Testing . . . . .	107
1.9.1	FTRP and FTSeg Geometry . . . . .	107
1.9.2	Record Content . . . . .	108
1.9.3	Consistency of FTRP and FTSeg Records . . . . .	109
1.9.4	FTRP and FTSeg Topology . . . . .	109
1.9.5	Record Format . . . . .	110
1.9.6	Validation . . . . .	110
<b>Appendix D – Examples</b>	. . . . .	<b>112</b>
1	Improvements in FTRP over time . . . . .	113
2	Economical Placement of FTRP . . . . .	114
3	Transportation Segments and Sub-state Jurisdictional Boundary Lines . . . . .	115
4	Road (Re)Construction . . . . .	117
5	Integration of Multiple FTRP and FTSeg at a Complex Intersection . . . . .	118
6	Creation of a new FTRP . . . . .	119
6.1	Existing FTRP: Unhelpful (estimated) Accuracy . . . . .	119
6.2	Existing FTRP: Useful (estimated) Accuracy . . . . .	121